## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver of Heritage Community Bank,	
Plaintiff,	
v. )	Case No. 10 C 7009
JOHN M. SAPHIR; PATRICK G. FANNING, STEPHEN L. FAYDASH; WILLIAM E. HETLER:) THOMAS JELINEK; LORI A MOSELEY; STEPHEN ANTHONY; JERRY C. BRUCKER; JAMES K. CHAMPION; ANDREW B. NATHAN;) and MARY C. MILLS,  Defendants.	) 

## DEFENDANT SAPHIR'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SET JANUARY 24, 2011 AS DATE FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant John M. Saphir ("Saphir") respectfully moves the Court for an Order setting January 24, 2011 as the date by which all Defendants shall respond to the Complaint of Plaintiff, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Heritage Community Bank (the "FDIC"). All other Defendants have agreed to Saphir's Motion, which is unopposed by Plaintiff. The grounds for Saphir's Motion are as follows;

- 1. The FDIC filed the present Complaint on November 1, 2010.
- 2. All Defendants executed waivers of summons.
- 3. Based upon the different dates that Plaintiff mailed requests for waivers, the current dates for each Defendant to respond to the Complaint are as follows:
  - a. Stephen Anthony January 10, 2011;

erry Brucer	January 3, 2011;
ames K. Champion	January 10, 2011;
atrick Fanning	January 18, 2011;
tephen Faydash	January 11, 2011;
Villiam H. Hetler	January 11, 2011
Chomas Jellinek	January 3, 2011;
Mary E. Mills	January 13, 2011;
Lori A. Mosley	January 31, 2011
Andrew B. Nathan	January 7, 2011
ohn M. Saphir	January 3, 2011;
	erry Brucer ames K. Champion Patrick Fanning Stephen Faydash William H. Hetler Chomas Jellinek Mary E. Mills Lori A. Mosley Andrew B. Nathan John M. Saphir

4. Defendants submit, and Plaintiff does not disagree, that it would be more logical and efficient to have a common date for all Defendants to respond to the Complaint and have proposed January 24, 2011. Plaintiffs does not object to this date, which provides a short extension of time for most Defendants and reduces the time for one of them to respond to the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Defendant John M. Saphir, with the consent of all other Defendants and without opposition from Plaintiff, asks the Court to set January 24, 2011, as the date for all Defendants to respond to the Complaint.

By: /s/John M. George, Jr.
One of His Attorneys

John M. George, Jr. Katten & Temple LLP 542 S. Dearborn St., Suite 1060 Chicago, IL 60605 (312) 663-0800 (312) 663-0900 (fax)